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## 1 Introduction

Let $U:=\{z:|z|<1\}$ denote the unit disk and $H(U)$ be the class of analytic functions defined in $U$. In 1914, Bohr [14] proved that for every analytic self-map $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ of the unit disk $U$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{n} z^{n}\right| \leq 1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the disk $0 \leq|z| \leq 1 / 6$. The value $1 / 6$ was further improved independently by Riesz, Schur and Wiener to $1 / 3$, which is the largest $|z|$ could be for inequality (1.1) to hold. The number $1 / 3$ is now known as the Bohr radius for the class of all analytic self-maps of the unit disk $U$. Other proofs can also be found in [24], [27], [28].

Greater interest is given to the Bohr theorem after Dixon [19] used it to construct a non-unital Banach algebra, which is not an operator algebra, but yet satisfy the non-unital von Neumann's inequality. Generalizations of Bohr theorem were studied by various authors: Aizenberg [2], [3] considered the domain of unit ball and unit hypercone; Popescu, Paulsen and Singh [23], [25], [26] established the operator-theoretic Bohr radius; Aizenberg, Aytuna and Djakov [5], [7] described the Bohr property of bases for holomorphic functions; Bénéteau, Dahlner and Khavinson [10] studied the Bohr phenomenon for functions in Hardy spaces; and Ali, Abdulhadi and Ng [6] extended the concept of the Bohr radius to the class of starlike logharmonic mappings. The interconnectivity between Banach theory and Bohr theorem was investigated in [11], [15], [16].

The generalization of Bohr theorem to higher dimensions was pioneered by Dineen and Timoney [18] in the setting of the unit polydisk, which led to a partial solution of the problem. Several years later, Boas and Khavinson [13] provided the estimate for the $n$-dimensional Bohr radius. Recently, Defant et al. [17] obtained the optimal asymptotic estimate for this radius by using the fact that the Bohnenblust-Hille inequality is indeed hypercontractive. The exact asymptotic behaviour of the radius was obtained by Bayart, Pellegrino and SeoaneSepúlveda [8].

Bohr inequality (1.1) can also be written as

$$
d\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{n} z^{n}\right|,\left|a_{0}\right|\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n} z^{n}\right| \leq d(f(0), \partial U)
$$

where $d$ is the Euclidean distance, and $\partial U$ is the boundary of $U$. This form makes evident the notion of the Bohr phenomenon for analytic functions mapping the unit disk into a given domain. Let $S(\Omega)$ be the class consisting

[^0]of all analytic (or harmonic) functions $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ from $U$ into a domain $\Omega$. The Bohr radius for $\Omega$ is the largest number $r_{\Omega} \in(0,1)$ satisfying
$$
d\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{n} z^{n}\right|,\left|a_{0}\right|\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n} z^{n}\right| \leq d(f(0), \partial \Omega)
$$
for all $f \in S(\Omega)$ and $|z|<r_{\Omega}$.
If $\Omega$ is convex, Aizenberg [4] proved that the sharp Bohr radius is $r_{\Omega}=1 / 3$. This result includes the classical case $\Omega=U$. When $\Omega$ is any proper simply connected domain, Abu-Muhanna [1] showed that the Bohr radius is $3-2 \sqrt{2} \cong 0.17157$. In two recent papers [21], [22], the Bohr inequality was investigated when $\Omega$ is the domain exterior to a compact convex set, and when $\Omega$ is a concave-wedge domain.

The aim of this paper is to investigate the Bohr phenomenon for the class of analytic functions mapping $U$ into the punctured unit disk. The sharp Bohr radius of $1 / 3$ for the punctured unit disk is obtained in Theorem 2.6. A similar Bohr-type inequality is also obtained in Theorem 2.10. Additionally, Theorem 2.11 yields the Bohr inequality involving the hyperbolic metric.

## 2 Analytic functions mapping into the punctured unit disk

Denote by $U_{0}=U \backslash\{0\}$ the unit disk punctured at the origin, and $\bar{U}_{r}$ the disk $\{z:|z| \leq r\}$. Further, denote by $\mathcal{H}$ the class of all analytic self-maps of $U$, and

$$
\mathcal{H}_{0}:=\left\{f \in \mathcal{H}: f(U) \subseteq U_{0}\right\}
$$

Since $f(z) \neq 0$ in $U$ whenever $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{H}_{0}$, evidently $\left|a_{0}\right|>0$.
For $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \in H(U)$, the majorant function [12] is given by

$$
\mathcal{M} f(z):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right| z^{n}
$$

Thus the classical Bohr theorem (1.1) takes the form

$$
\mathcal{M} f(|z|) \leq 1
$$

for $|z| \leq 1 / 3$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Since $|\mathcal{M} f(z)| \leq \mathcal{M} f(|z|)$, Bohr theorem can be written as

$$
\mathcal{M} f\left(\bar{U}_{1 / 3}\right) \subseteq U
$$

for $f \in \mathcal{H}$, or in distance form,

$$
d(\mathcal{M} f(|z|),|f(0)|) \leq d(f(0), \partial U)
$$

for $|z| \leq 1 / 3$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}$.
The following theorem shows that the Bohr radius $1 / 3$ also holds for the subclass $\mathcal{H}_{0}$ of $\mathcal{H}$.
Theorem 2.1 If $f \in \mathcal{H}_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M} f\left(\bar{U}_{1 / 3}\right) \subseteq U \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(\mathcal{M} f(|z|),|f(0)|) \leq d(f(0), \partial U) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|z| \leq 1 / 3$. The radius $1 / 3$ is best.

Proof. Since $f \in \mathcal{H}_{0} \subset \mathcal{H}$, the inclusion (2.1) follows immediately from the classical Bohr theorem. To show the value $1 / 3$ is best, consider the function

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{t}(z) & =\exp \left(-t \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{e^{t}}+\frac{1}{e^{t}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{(-2 t)^{m}}{m!}\binom{n-1}{m-1}\right] z^{n}, \quad t>0 . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that

$$
\left|\sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{(-2 t)^{m}}{m!}\binom{n-1}{m-1}\right| \geq-\sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{(-2 t)^{m}}{m!}\binom{n-1}{m-1}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M} f_{t}(|z|) & \geq \frac{1}{e^{t}}-\frac{1}{e^{t}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{(-2 t)^{m}}{m!}\binom{n-1}{m-1}\right]|z|^{n} \\
& =\frac{2}{e^{t}}-f_{t}(|z|) . \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $a_{0}=f_{t}(0)$. Since $t=-\log a_{0}=-\log \left|a_{0}\right|, f_{t}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{t}(z)=\exp \left(\log \left|a_{0}\right| \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) & =\left|a_{0}\right| \exp \left(\log \left|a_{0}\right| \frac{2 z}{1-z}\right) \\
& =\left|a_{0}\right|\left|a_{0}\right|^{\frac{2 z}{1-z}} . \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, by letting $|z|=r,(2.4)$ and (2.5) imply

$$
\mathcal{M} f_{t}(|z|) \geq 2\left|a_{0}\right|-f_{t}(|z|)=\left|a_{0}\right|\left(2-\left|a_{0}\right|^{\frac{2 r}{1-r}}\right)>1
$$

as $a_{0} \rightarrow 1$ and $r>1 / 3$. Indeed, for each $r_{0}>1 / 3$, there exists an $\epsilon_{0}>0$ satisfying

$$
1<\frac{-\log \left(1-\epsilon_{0}\right)}{\log \left(1+\epsilon_{0}\right)}<\frac{2 r_{0}}{1-r_{0}}
$$

Equivalently,

$$
1-\epsilon_{0}>\left(1+\epsilon_{0}\right)^{-\frac{2 r}{1-r}}
$$

With $\left|a_{0}\right|=1 /\left(1+\epsilon_{0}\right)$, then

$$
\left|a_{0}\right|\left(2-\left|a_{0}\right|^{\frac{2 r}{1-r}}\right)>1
$$

which gives $\mathcal{M} f_{t}\left(r_{0}\right)>1$. Also note that

$$
\left|a_{0}\right|\left(2-\left|a_{0}\right|^{\frac{2 r}{1-r}}\right) \leq 2\left|a_{0}\right|-\left|a_{0}\right|^{2} \leq 1
$$

for $\left|a_{0}\right|<1$ and $0 \leq r \leq 1 / 3$. Hence the radius $1 / 3$ is best.
Since $f(U) \subseteq U_{0}$, the Bohr theorem for the class $\mathcal{H}_{0}$ suggests replacing the domain $U$ in both (2.1) and (2.2) by $U_{0}$. To this end, we first examine the case for functions $f_{t} \in \mathcal{H}_{0}$ given by (2.3). For such functions $f_{t}$, Koepf and Schmersau [20, p. 248] obtained the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{e^{t}} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{(-2 t)^{m}}{m!}\binom{n-1}{m-1}\right|<\sqrt{\frac{2 t}{n}}, \quad t \in(0,2 n), \quad n>0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, it would soon become evident that the number

$$
\alpha_{0}:=\frac{1}{3 e}-\frac{1}{9 \sqrt{6}} \approx 0.07727
$$

plays a prominent role in the sequel.
Lemma 2.2 Let $f_{t}$ be given by (2.3) with $0<t \leq 1$. Then $\mathcal{M} f_{t}\left(\bar{U}_{1 / 3}\right) \subseteq U_{0}$ and

$$
\left|\mathcal{M} f_{t}(z)-\frac{1}{e^{t}}\right|<\frac{1}{e^{t}}-\alpha_{0}, \quad z \in \bar{U}_{1 / 3}
$$

## In particular,

$$
\mathcal{M} f_{t}(|z|)-\frac{1}{e^{t}}<\frac{1}{e^{t}}-\alpha_{0}, \quad|z| \leq 1 / 3
$$

Proof. Write

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{t}(z) & =\exp \left(-t \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)=\exp \left(-t-2 t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{n}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{e^{t}}+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{t} m!}\left(-2 t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{n}\right)^{m} \\
& =\frac{1}{e^{t}}-\frac{2 t}{e^{t}} z-\frac{2 t(1-t)}{e^{t}} z^{2}+a_{3} z^{3}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus for $|z| \leq 1 / 3$, (2.6) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathcal{M} f_{t}(z)-\frac{1}{e^{t}}\right| & <\frac{2 t}{3 e^{t}}+\frac{2 t(1-t)}{9 e^{t}}+\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{2 t}}{3^{n} \sqrt{n}} \\
& <\frac{2 t}{3 e^{t}}+\frac{2 t(1-t)}{9 e^{t}}+\sqrt{\frac{2 t}{3}} \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^{n}} \\
& =\frac{2 t}{3 e^{t}}+\frac{2 t(1-t)}{9 e^{t}}+\frac{1}{9} \sqrt{\frac{t}{6}} \\
& =\frac{1}{e^{t}}-y_{1}(t)<\frac{1}{e^{t}}-\alpha_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
y_{1}(t):=\frac{1}{e^{t}}-\frac{2 t}{3 e^{t}}-\frac{2 t(1-t)}{9 e^{t}}-\frac{1}{9} \sqrt{\frac{t}{6}}
$$

is strictly decreasing in $[0,1]$. Thus $y_{1}(t)>\alpha_{0}$ in $[0,1]$. It follows that $\left|\mathcal{M} f_{t}(z)\right|>0$, which along with Theorem 2.1 give $\mathcal{M} f_{t}\left(\bar{U}_{1 / 3}\right) \subseteq U_{0}$.

Remark 2.3 Equation $y_{1}$ in Lemma 2.2 has a root at $t_{0} \approx 1.35299$, and indeed $y_{1}$ is strictly decreasing in $\left[0, t_{0}\right]$. We shall however be only interested in the interval $t \in(0,1]$.

Lemma 2.4 Let $f_{a, N} \in \mathcal{H}_{0}$ be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{a, N}(z)=\exp \left(-\sum_{k=1}^{N} t_{k} a \frac{1+x_{k} z}{1-x_{k} z}\right), \quad z \in U \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $0<a \leq 1,\left|x_{k}\right|=1$ for each $k$, and $t_{k}>0$ satisfies $\sum_{k=1}^{N} t_{k}=1$. Then $\mathcal{M} f_{a, N}\left(\bar{U}_{1 / 3}\right) \subseteq U_{0}$ and

$$
\left|\mathcal{M} f_{a, N}(z)-\frac{1}{e^{a}}\right|<\frac{1}{e^{a}}-\alpha_{0}, \quad z \in \bar{U}_{1 / 3}
$$

Proof. Since $f_{a, N}$ is analytic in $U$, it can be expressed in its Taylor series

$$
\begin{aligned}
\exp \left(-\sum_{k=1}^{N} t_{k} a \frac{1+x_{k} z}{1-x_{k} z}\right) & =\exp \left(-a-2 a \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} t_{k} x_{k}^{n}\right) z^{n}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{e^{a}} \exp \left(-2 a \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} t_{k} x_{k}^{n}\right) z^{n}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{e^{a}}+\frac{1}{e^{a}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-2 a)^{m}}{m!}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} t_{k} x_{k}^{n}\right) z^{n}\right)^{m} \\
& =\frac{1}{e^{a}}+\frac{1}{e^{a}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-2 a)^{m}}{m!} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} d_{n} z^{n} \\
& =\frac{1}{e^{a}}+\frac{1}{e^{a}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{(-2 a)^{m}}{m!} d_{n} z^{n} \\
& =\frac{1}{e^{a}}+\frac{1}{e^{a}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{(-2 a)^{m}}{m!} d_{n} z^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
d_{n}=\sum_{s_{1}+\cdots+s_{m}=n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} t_{k} x_{k}^{s_{1}}\right) \cdots\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} t_{k} x_{k}^{s_{m}}\right)
$$

and the outer sum is taken over all $m$-tuples $\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}\right)$ of postive integers satisfying $s_{1}+\cdots+s_{m}=n$. Note that

$$
\left|d_{n}\right| \leq \sum_{s_{1}+\cdots+s_{m}=n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} t_{k}\right) \cdots\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} t_{k}\right)=\sum_{s_{1}+\cdots+s_{m}=n} 1=\binom{n-1}{m-1}
$$

Next let

$$
f_{a}(z)=\exp \left(-a \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)=\frac{1}{e^{a}}+\frac{1}{e^{a}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{(-2 a)^{m}}{m!}\binom{n-1}{m-1} z^{n}
$$

Thus for $|z| \leq 1 / 3$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathcal{M} f_{a, N}(z)-\frac{1}{e^{a}}\right| & \leq \frac{1}{e^{a}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{(-2 a)^{m}}{m!}\right|\left|d_{n}\right||z|^{n} \\
& \leq \mathcal{M} f_{a}(|z|)-\frac{1}{e^{a}}<\frac{1}{e^{a}}-\alpha_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma 2.2. Hence $\left|\mathcal{M} f_{a, N}(z)\right|>0$ on $\bar{U}_{1 / 3}$, which together with Theorem 2.1 yields $\mathcal{M} f_{a, N}\left(\bar{U}_{1 / 3}\right) \subseteq U_{0}$.

Theorem 2.5 Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_{0}$ with $1 / e \leq|f(0)|<1$. Then $\mathcal{M} f\left(\bar{U}_{1 / 3}\right) \subseteq U_{0}$ and

$$
|\mathcal{M} f(z)-\mathcal{M} f(0)|<\mathcal{M} f(0), \quad z \in \bar{U}_{1 / 3}
$$

## In particular,

$$
\mathcal{M} f(|z|)-|f(0)|<|f(0)|, \quad|z| \leq 1 / 3
$$

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $f(0)>0$. Since $0<|f(z)|<1$, then $-\operatorname{Re} \log f(z)>0$ in $U$. Thus,

$$
\log f(z)=\log f(0) \int_{|x|=1} \frac{1+x z}{1-x z} d \mu(x)
$$

or

$$
f(z)=\exp \left(-\int_{|x|=1} a \frac{1+x z}{1-x z} d \mu(x)\right)
$$

for some probability measure $\mu$ on $\partial U$, and $0<a=-\log f(0) \leq 1$. If $f$ has the form (2.7), then the results evidently follow from Lemma 2.4 .

Consider the compact disk $\bar{U}_{\rho}$ with $1 / 3<\rho<1$. If $f$ does not has the form (2.7), then there exists a sequence of functions $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ of the form (2.7) satisfying $g_{n}(0)=f(0)$ for each $n$, and $g_{n}$ converges uniformly to $f$ on $\bar{U}_{\rho}$. Thus for a given $\epsilon>0$, there exists a positive integer $N$ such that

$$
\left|g_{n}(z)-f(z)\right|<\frac{\epsilon}{M} \quad \text { for all } z \in \bar{U}_{\rho}
$$

and $n>N$, where $M=\max _{z \in \bar{U}_{1 / 3}}\{|z| /(\rho-|z|)\}$. The Cauchy Integral formula yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|g_{n}^{(k)}(0)-f^{(k)}(0)\right| & =\left|\frac{k!}{2 \pi i} \oint_{\partial \overline{U_{\rho}}} \frac{g_{n}(\zeta)-f(\zeta)}{\zeta^{k+1}} d \zeta\right| \\
& \leq \frac{k!}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\left|g_{n}(\zeta(t))-f(\zeta(t))\right|}{\rho^{k}} d t<\frac{\epsilon k!}{M \rho^{k}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, for all $|z| \leq 1 / 3$ and $n>N$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathcal{M} g_{n}(z)-\mathcal{M} f(z)\right| & \leq\left|\mathcal{M}\left(g_{n}-f\right)(|z|)\right| \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\frac{g_{n}^{(k)}(0)-f^{(k)}(0)}{k!}\right||z|^{k} \\
& <\frac{\epsilon}{M} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{|z|}{\rho}\right)^{k}=\frac{\epsilon|z|}{M(\rho-|z|)} \leq \epsilon,
\end{aligned}
$$

implying $\mathcal{M} g_{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} f$ uniformly on $\bar{U}_{1 / 3}$.
Now, for any $\epsilon>0$, there exists a corresponding positive integer $N$ such that

$$
\sup _{z \in \bar{U}_{1 / 3}}\left|\mathcal{M} g_{n}(z)-\mathcal{M} f(z)\right|<\epsilon \quad \text { for all } n>N
$$

Lemma 2.4 and the inequality above imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{z \in \bar{U}_{1 / 3}}|\mathcal{M} f(z)-f(0)| & \leq \sup _{z \in \bar{U}_{1 / 3}}\left|\mathcal{M} g_{n}(z)-f(0)\right|+\sup _{z \in \bar{U}_{1 / 3}}\left|\mathcal{M} g_{n}(z)-\mathcal{M} f(z)\right| \\
& <f(0)-\alpha_{0}+\epsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $|\mathcal{M} f(z)-f(0)| \leq f(0)-\alpha_{0}<f(0)$ for all $z \in \bar{U}_{1 / 3}$, and so $|\mathcal{M} f(z)|>0$ on $\bar{U}_{1 / 3}$. Further Theorem 2.1 gives $\mathcal{M} f\left(\bar{U}_{1 / 3}\right) \subseteq U_{0}$.

The following result yields the Bohr radius for the class $\left\{f \in \mathcal{H}_{0}: 1 / e \leq|f(0)|<1\right\}$.
Theorem 2.6 If $f \in \mathcal{H}_{0}$ with $1 / e \leq|f(0)|<1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M} f\left(\bar{U}_{1 / 3}\right) \subseteq U_{0} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(\mathcal{M} f(|z|),|f(0)|) \leq d\left(f(0), \partial U_{0}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|z| \leq 1 / 3$. The radius $1 / 3$ is best possible.
Proof. The inclusion (2.8) follows from Theorem 2.5. Now, assume that $r=|z| \leq 1 / 3$. The inequality in Theorem 2.5 implies

$$
d(\mathcal{M} f(r),|f(0)|)=\mathcal{M} f(r)-|f(0)|<|f(0)| .
$$

On the other hand, since $f \in \mathcal{H}_{0}$, Theorem 2.1 gives $\mathcal{M} f(r)<1$ and so

$$
d(\mathcal{M} f(r),|f(0)|)=\mathcal{M} f(r)-|f(0)|<1-|f(0)|
$$

Then (2.9) follows from the two inequalities above since

$$
d\left(f(0), \partial U_{0}\right)=\min \{|f(0)|, 1-|f(0)|\}
$$

That the value $1 / 3$ is best follows from the proof of Theorem 2.1.
Remark 2.7 Relations (2.1) and (2.2) in Theorem 2.1 are equivalent. However (2.8) and (2.9) in Theorem 2.6 are not since $d\left(f(0), \partial U_{0}\right)=|f(0)| \neq 1-|f(0)|$ for $|f(0)|<1 / 2$.

Next, we look at removing the constraint on $|f(0)|$ in Theorem 2.6. Denote by $[\cdot]$ the least integer function, that is, $[a]$ is the smallest integer greater than or equal to $a$.

Lemma 2.8 Suppose $a>0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{a, N}(z)=\exp \left(-\sum_{k=1}^{N} t_{k} a \frac{1+x_{k} z}{1-x_{k} z}\right) \in \mathcal{H}_{0} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|x_{k}\right|=1$ for each $k$, and $t_{k}>0$ satisfies $\sum_{k=1}^{N} t_{k}=1$. Then

$$
\left(\mathcal{M} f_{a, N}(|z|)\right)^{1 /[a]}-\frac{1}{e^{a /[a]}}<\frac{1}{e^{a /[a]}}-\alpha_{0}, \quad|z| \leq 1 / 3
$$

Proof. If $a \in(0,1]$, then $[a]=1$ and the result follows from Lemma 2.4. Assume now that $a>1$. It follows from the proof of Lemma 2.4 that

$$
\left|\mathcal{M} f_{a, N}(z)-\frac{1}{e^{a}}\right| \leq \mathcal{M} f_{a}(|z|)-\frac{1}{e^{a}},
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{M} f_{a, N}(z)\right| \leq \mathcal{M} f_{a}(|z|) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathcal{M}(f g)(|z|) \leq \mathcal{M}(f)(|z|) \mathcal{M}(g)(|z|)$, Lemma 2.2 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M} f_{a}(|z|)=\mathcal{M} f_{a /[a]}^{[a]}(|z|) \leq\left(\mathcal{M} f_{a /[a]}(|z|)\right)^{[a]}<\left(\frac{2}{e^{a /[a]}}-\alpha_{0}\right)^{[a]} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|z| \leq 1 / 3$. Thus

$$
\left(\mathcal{M} f_{a, N}(|z|)\right)^{1 /[a]}-\frac{1}{e^{a /[a]}}<\frac{1}{e^{a /[a]}}-\alpha_{0}
$$

Theorem 2.9 Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_{0}$ and $a=-\log |f(0)|$. Then

$$
(\mathcal{M} f(|z|))^{1 /[a]}-|f(0)|^{1 /[a]}<|f(0)|^{1 /[a]}, \quad|z| \leq 1 / 3
$$

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $f(0)>0$. Let $a=-\log f(0)$. Then

$$
f(z)=\exp \left(-\int_{|x|=1} a \frac{1+x z}{1-x z} d \mu(x)\right)
$$

for some probability measure $\mu$ on $\partial U$. If $f$ has the form (2.10), then the result follows from Lemma 2.8.
Consider the compact disk $\bar{U}_{\rho}$ with $1 / 3<\rho<1$. If $f$ does not has the form (2.10), then there exists a sequence of functions $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ of the form (2.10) satisfying $g_{n}(0)=f(0)$ for each $n$, and $g_{n}$ converges uniformly to $f$ on $\bar{U}_{\rho}$. Applying the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.5 , it can be shown that $\mathcal{M} g_{n}$ converges to $\mathcal{M} f$ uniformly on $\bar{U}_{1 / 3}$.

Thus, for any $\epsilon>0$, there exists a corresponding positive integer $N$ such that for all $n \geq N$ and $z \in \bar{U}_{1 / 3}$,

$$
\left|\mathcal{M} g_{n}(z)-\mathcal{M} f(z)\right|<\epsilon
$$

and thus

$$
|\mathcal{M} f(z)|<\left|\mathcal{M} g_{n}(z)\right|+\epsilon .
$$

Further (2.11) and (2.12) imply

$$
|\mathcal{M} f(z)|<\left(2(f(0))^{1 /[a]}-\alpha_{0}\right)^{[a]}+\epsilon .
$$

Since $\epsilon$ is arbitrary, it follows that

$$
|\mathcal{M} f(z)| \leq\left(2(f(0))^{1 /[a]}-\alpha_{0}\right)^{[a]}<\left(2(f(0))^{1 /[a]}\right)^{[a]},
$$

and consequently

$$
(\mathcal{M} f(|z|))^{1 /[a]}-(f(0))^{1 /[a]}<(f(0))^{1 /[a]}
$$

for $|z| \leq 1 / 3$.
Theorem 2.10 If $f \in \mathcal{H}_{0}$ and $a=-\log |f(0)|$, then

$$
d\left((\mathcal{M} f(|z|))^{1 /[a]},|f(0)|^{1 /[a]}\right) \leq d\left((f(0))^{1 /[a]}, \partial U_{0}\right)
$$

for $|z| \leq 1 / 3$. The radius $1 / 3$ is best possible.
Proof. The proof is omitted as it can be argued likewise in proving (2.9) by applying Theorem 2.9.
To show the value $1 / 3$ is best, consider the function $f_{t} \in \mathcal{H}_{0}$ given by (2.3) with $1 / 2 \leq f_{t /[t]}(0)<1$. Then it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(\left(\mathcal{M} f_{t}(|z|)\right)^{1 /[t]},\left(f_{t}(0)\right)^{1 /[t]}\right)>d\left(\left(f_{t}(0)\right)^{1 /[t]}, \partial U_{0}\right), \quad|z|>1 / 3 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
d\left(\left(\mathcal{M} f_{t}(|z|)\right)^{1 /[t]},\left(f_{t}(0)\right)^{1 /[t]}\right)=\left(\mathcal{M} f_{t}(|z|)\right)^{1 /[t]}-\left(f_{t}(0)\right)^{1 /[t]}
$$

and

$$
d\left(\left(f_{t}(0)\right)^{1 /[t]}, \partial U_{0}\right)=1-\left(f_{t}(0)\right)^{1 /[t]}
$$

it follows that (2.13) can be reduced to

$$
\left(\mathcal{M} f_{t}(|z|)\right)^{1 /[t]}>1 \quad \text { or } \quad \mathcal{M} f_{t}(|z|)>1, \quad|z|>1 / 3
$$

Indeed, the inequality holds as is shown in the proof of Theorem 2.1.
We end this section by presenting a Bohr-type inequality in hyperbolic distance on $U_{0}$. The density of the metric [9] on $U_{0}$ is given by

$$
\lambda_{U_{0}}(z)=\frac{1}{|z| \log (1 /|z|)} .
$$

If $d_{U_{0}}(a, b)$ denote the hyperbolic distance between $a$ and $b$, then

$$
d_{U_{0}}(a, b)=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{|d z|}{|z| \log (1 /|z|)}=\log \left|\frac{\log 1 /|b|}{\log 1 /|a|}\right| .
$$

Theorem 2.11 Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_{0}$ with $1 / e \leq|f(0)|<1$. Then

$$
d_{U_{0}}(\mathcal{M} f(|z|),|f(0)|) \leq \log \frac{1+3|z|}{1-3|z|}
$$

for $|z|<1 / 3$. In particular,
(a) when $|z|<1 / 9$,

$$
d_{U_{0}}(\mathcal{M} f(|z|),|f(0)|)<\log 2=\frac{2}{\lambda_{U_{0}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}
$$

(b) when $|z|<(e-1) / 3(1+e) \approx 0.15404$,

$$
d_{U_{0}}(\mathcal{M} f(|z|),|f(0)|)<1=\frac{e}{\lambda_{U_{0}}\left(\frac{1}{e}\right)}
$$

(c) when $|z|<(1-|f(0)|) / 3(1+|f(0)|)$,

$$
d_{U_{0}}(\mathcal{M} f(|z|),|f(0)|)<\frac{1 /|f(0)|}{\lambda_{U_{0}}(|f(0)|)}
$$

Proof. By Theorem $2.5, \mathcal{M} f\left(U_{1 / 3}\right) \subseteq U_{0}$. Define a covering map $F: U \rightarrow U_{0}$ by

$$
F(z)=\exp \left(\log (|f(0)|) \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)
$$

Also, the conformal map $\psi(z)=3 z$ sends $U_{1 / 3}$ onto $U$. Note that $F \circ \psi: U_{1 / 3} \rightarrow U_{0}$ is also a covering map. Thus by [9, Theorem 10.5],

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{U_{0}}(|f(0)|, \mathcal{M} f(|z|)) & \leq d_{U_{0}}((F \circ \psi)(0),(F \circ \psi)(|z|)) \\
& =d_{U_{1 / 3}}(0,|z|)=d_{U}(\psi(0), \psi(|z|)) \\
& =d_{U}(0,3|z|)=\log \frac{1+3|z|}{1-3|z|}
\end{aligned}
$$

in $U_{1 / 3}$. Part (a) and (b) are evident. For part (c), an upper bound for $|z|$ is obtained by solving the inequality

$$
\log \frac{1+3|z|}{1-3|z|}<\frac{1 /|f(0)|}{\lambda_{U_{0}}(|f(0)|)}=\log \left(\frac{1}{|f(0)|}\right)
$$
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